





B. FU
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 24W: COM SCI M146 DIS 1H: MACHINE LEARNING
 No. of responses = 19
 Enrollment = 38
 Response Rate = 50%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?




2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.



n=18
av.=8.28
md=8
dev.=0.75
ab.=1

2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern - The T.A. was concerned about student learning.




n=18
av.=7.94
md=8
dev.=0.94
ab.=1

2.3) Organization - Section presentations were well prepared and organized.




n=18
av.=8.89
md=8
dev.=1.02
ab.=1

2.4) Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.



n=18
av.=7.89
md=8
dev.=1.64
ab.=1

2.5) Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.




n=18
av.=7.89
md=8
dev.=1.23
ab.=1

2.6) Communication Skills - The teaching assistant had good communication skills.



n=18
av.=8.22
md=8
dev.=0.73
ab.=1

2.7) Value - The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.



n=18
av.=7.78
md=8
dev.=1.31
ab.=1

2.8) Overall - What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?



n=18
av.=8.22
md=8
dev.=0.88
ab.=1

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)



n=18
av.=2.33
md=2
dev.=0.49
ab.=1

3.2)	Workload/pace was		n=18 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0 ab.=1
3.3)	Integration of section with course was		n=18 av.=2.56 md=3 dev.=0.51 ab.=1
3.4)	Texts, required readings		n=16 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.52 ab.=3
3.5)	Homework assignments		n=18 av.=2.61 md=3 dev.=0.5 ab.=1
3.6)	Graded materials, examinations		n=18 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.51 ab.=1
3.7)	Lecture presentations		n=18 av.=2.61 md=3 dev.=0.5 ab.=1
3.8)	Class discussions		n=18 av.=2.44 md=2 dev.=0.51 ab.=1

4. Comments:

- 4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- Amazing TA. He explains the concepts and class contents very clearly and in-depth. We can also ask him almost any deeper materials related to the class and he will always be able to answer it.
 - Great TA. Honestly helped as much as possible. Not complaints. Can't really identify any weaknesses at this moment.
 - Kind, caring, willing to help everyone
 - TA was extremely enthusiastic about the subject and passionate about helping us understand. I always felt very comfortable asking what I thought were 'silly' questions because he was always willing to clarify and go over topics as much as necessary. Discussions were an excellent supplement to this class, but I do wish we were able to go over practice problems together as a group in discussion to supplement our preparation for examinations, quizzes and problem sets.
 - The TA seemed knowledgeable. The course was organized well and covered many interesting topics. The discussion sections were alright, though I didn't attend very many of them.
 - The TA was good at explaining concepts and reiterating himself if the student still needed additional assistance/explanation.

- The discussion didn't really expand on any ideas more so just reiterated what was said in lecture.
- The teaching assistant seems very knowledgeable on the topics and overall presents materials well.

Profile

Subunit: COM SCI
 Name of the instructor: B. FU
 Name of the course: 24W: COM SCI M146 DIS 1H: MACHINE LEARNING
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never										Very High or Always	n=18	av.=8.28
2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern - The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never										Very High or Always	n=18	av.=7.94
2.3) Organization - Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never										Very High or Always	n=18	av.=7.89
2.4) Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never										Very High or Always	n=18	av.=7.89
2.5) Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never										Very High or Always	n=18	av.=7.89
2.6) Communication Skills - The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never										Very High or Always	n=18	av.=8.22
2.7) Value - The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never										Very High or Always	n=18	av.=7.78
2.8) Overall - What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never										Very High or Always	n=18	av.=8.22

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low										High	n=18	av.=2.33
3.2) Workload/pace was	Too Slow										Too Much	n=18	av.=2.00
3.3) Integration of section with course was	Poor										Excellent	n=18	av.=2.56
3.4) Texts, required readings	Poor										Excellent	n=16	av.=2.50
3.5) Homework assignments	Poor										Excellent	n=18	av.=2.61
3.6) Graded materials, examinations	Poor										Excellent	n=18	av.=2.50
3.7) Lecture presentations	Poor										Excellent	n=18	av.=2.61
3.8) Class discussions	Poor										Excellent	n=18	av.=2.44